

ESM 3: Structure of the study group meetings (M #)

We	elcome & Int	roduction
Activities of algebra (Kilpatrick et al., 2001; Kieran, 2007) – presentation (M #1) or a brief revisit (M #2 – M #7) Engagement with Problems (as indicated in Table 1) & Sharing solutions		
	M #2	Problem #2 (Ito-Hino, 1995)Paper discussion: simultaneous linear equations with two variables, informal and formal strategies to solve them (Ito-Hino, 1995)Application of the notion of translations among representations (Lesh et al., 1987) to Problem #2
	M #3	Problem #3 (Musser et al., 2008)What is a representation? (a brief revisit)Pedagogical representations (Cai, 2005; Author, 2022)Application of the notion of translations among representations (Lesh et al.,
	M #4	 Application of the notion of transfations among representations (Lesh et al., 1987) to Problem #3 Problem #4 (Star & Seifert, 2006) Application of the notion of transformations within representations (Lesh et al., 1987) to Problem #4
		Teaching strategies for improving students' algebra knowledge: have students evaluate and compare different strategies for solving problems The development of flexibility in equation solving (Author, 2021; Star & Rittle Johnson, 2009)
	M #5	Problem #5 (Star & Seifert, 2006) Application of the notion of transformations within representations (Lesh et al., 1987) to Problem #5
	M #6	 The development of flexibility in equation solving (Author, 2021; Star & Rittle Johnson, 2009); The comparison strategy (Rittle-Johnson & Star, 2007) Problem #6 (Kieran, 1992) Paper discussion: the development of algebraic symbolism (Kieran, 1992)





M #7 Solutions to Problem #6 in relevant literature (Author et al., 2022) M #7 Problem #7 (Kieran, 1992) Paper discussion: the use of letters to express the general (Kieran, 1992) Procedural vs. structural conception of algebra (Kieran, 1992)

Reflections/thoughts, Negotiating next meeting & Closing

References

Cai, J. (2005). U.S. and Chinese teachers' constructing, knowing, and evaluating representations to teach mathematics. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 7(2), 135–169. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327833mtl0702_3

Author, V. (2022).

Author, V. (2021).

Author et al. (2022).

- Ito-Hino, K. (1995). Students' reasoning and mathematical connections in the Japanese classroom. In P. House, & A. F. Coxford (Eds.), *Connecting mathematics across the curriculum* (pp. 233–245). NCTM.
- Kieran, C. (2007). Learning and teaching algebra at the middle school through college levels:
 Building meaning for symbols and their manipulation. In F. K. Lester (Ed.), *Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 707–762). Information Age Publishing.
- Kieran, C. (1992). The learning and teaching of school algebra. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.), *Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 390–419). Macmillan Publishing.
- Kilpatrick, J., Swafford, J., & Findell, B. (Eds.). (2001). Adding it up: Helping children learn mathematics. The National Academy Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/9822</u>
- Lesh R., Post, T., & Behr, M. (1987). Representations and translations among representations in mathematics learning and problem solving. In C. Janvier (Ed.), *Problems of Representation in the Teaching and Learning of Mathematics* (pp. 33–40) Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Musser, G.L., Burger, F.W., & Peterson, B.E. (2008). *Mathematics for elementary teachers: A contemporary approach*. Wiley: USA.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2014). *Principles to actions: Ensuring mathematical success for all*. Reston VA: NCTM.
- Rittle-Johnson, B., & Star, J. R. (2007). Does comparing solution methods facilitate conceptual and procedural knowledge? An experimental study on learning to solve equations. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99(3), 561–574. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.99.3.561</u>





- Star, J. R., & Seifert, C. (2006). The development of flexibility in equation solving. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 31(3), 280–300.
- Star, J.R., & Rittle-Johnson, B. (2008). Flexibility in problem solving: The case of equation solving. *Learning and Instruction*, 18(6), 565–579. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2007.09.018</u>

Tripathi, N. P. (2008). Developing mathematical understanding through multiple representations. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*, 13(8), 438–445. https://www.jstor.org/stable/41182592

